Hon'ble P.C.Pant,J.

Heard Sri Anirudh S. Negi, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri Arvind Vashisth, learned Assistant Solicitor General of India for the respondents.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 13th July 2007 (copy Annexure 9 to the writ petition), whereby the petitioner's representation is rejected by respondent no.1.

Brief facts of the case are that the petitioner was initially appointed as a temporary Clerk with Indian Military Academy in the year 1977. In the year 1982, he was given an opportunity to become the regular employee, but he refused to accept the condition No. 26 of the document required to be signed by the petitioner. This resulted in termination of petitioner's services, as the authorities felt that his services were no more required. Challenging said order, terminating the services of the petitioner w.e.f. 01.01.1983, a Writ Petition No. 11391 of 1983, was filed before Allahabad High Court, which was dismissed summarily by said Court on 30.09.1983. It appears that another Writ Petition No. 777 of 2001 was filed by the petitioner, which was also dismissed on 15th September 2003, and this Court declined to interfere with the impugned order of termination. Story did not end here. Again a third Writ Petition No. 666 of 2001 was filed before this Court and vide order dated 30.08.2006, the said writ petition was disposed of directing the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner. It appears that vide impugned order dated 13th July 2007 the representation has been rejected. Hence, this writ petition.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the paper on record, this Court does not find any force in the writ petition as the order of rejection of representation, passed by respondent no. 1, suffers from no illegality.

The writ petition is devoid of merits and the same is dismissed in limine

(P.C.P., J.)

Dt. 25.10.2007
NS